





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/763,589	02/23/2001	Hyoung Chan Rhee	2048-3-02	8773
T590 11/18/2003 Lee & Hong 221 North Figueroa Street 11th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90012			EXAMINER	
			TIEU, BENNY QUOC	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2642	
	,	,	DATE MAILED: 11/18/2003	, H

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	• 🗕			
, ,	<u>.</u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/763,589	RHEE ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Benny Q. Tieu	2642	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appropriate the second section and the second section section and the second section	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, may ply within the statutory minimum of d will apply and will expire SIX (6) No ute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered tim ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	February 2001 .		
2a)□		This action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of Claims			the merits is
•	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application	nn.		
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	awii iioiii consideration.		
·	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.			
· · ·	•			
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	lar alastian requirement		
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and on Papers	vor election requirement.		
· · ·	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
· —	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		v the Examiner.	
/	Applicant may not request that any objection to		*).
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed on			
·	If approved, corrected drawings are required in r			
12) <u> </u>	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)🖂	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.0	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		Application No	
* 0	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International E	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	al Stage
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	•		ol application)
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	•		аі арріісаціоп).
)	• •		
Attachmen	•	_		
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper N of Informal Patent Application (P	

Application/Control Number: 09/763,589

Art Unit: 2642

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 1-19 are objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase in a parenthesis renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations in the parenthesis are part of the claimed invention. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/763,589

Art Unit: 2642

4. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sleevi (U.S. Patent No. 4,811,382).

Regarding claims 1-19, Sleevi teaches a method and apparatus for applying messages in a telecommunications network to calling parties during the times when the calling party is receiving ring-back signals while waiting for the called party to answer a call. By making use of this heretofore unused time, the telephone network facilities are utilized more effectively for the transmission of information. At the same time, messages are transmitted only during ties when the telephone line is already occupied, so there is no increase in the extent to which available lines are tied up (column 2, line 29 through column 3, line 54). Sleevi does not explicitly teach details as claimed in the claims. However, those limitations lie fully under a normal capability of a person skilled in the art.

5. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshihi (JP06-121043) and LG Telecom LTD. (KR1999-46605).

See International Preliminary Examination Report.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Blen et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,652,784) teach an automatic telephone advertising provided in lieu of dial tone. Chavez, Jr. et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,603,844) teach an advertised ring back in a telecommunication switching system.

Application/Control Number: 09/763,589

Art Unit: 2642

7. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

OR Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Crystal Park II, Sixth Floor (Receptionist)

2121 Crystal Drive

Arlington, VA 22202.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benny Q. Tieu whose telephone number is (703) 305-2360. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday: 6:30AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar can be reached on (703) 305-4731. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

BENNYTIEU PY EXAMINER

Benny a. Tien

Art Unit 2642 October 17, 2003